Improving MPA management: working together for better conservation advice

Seabed User



Jen Ashworth, Natural England & Peter Barham, Seabed User & Developer Group Coastal Futures January 2015

www.naturalengland.org.uk

Natural England's Conservation Advice project

- Duty to provide Conservation Advice for European marine sites and Marine Conservation Zones
- Project set up in response to Habitats & Wild Birds Directive Implementation Review (Defra, 2012), EC guidance & stakeholder feedback
- We want MPA conservation advice to:
 - Be up-to-date
 - Be easily accessible
 - Support applicants to undertake assessments
 - Be all on-line interactive website
- So far we have produced:

areas

- 8 formal advice packages
- 39 draft advice packages

See <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/conservation-advice-packages-for-marine-protected-</u>

2

use Where can I find it?

Seabed User and Developer Group:

Committed to working towards sustainable development Working with the environment Working with conservation bodies Seeking good practice Better and more effective regulation





Research Corporation, EU maritime clusters study 2008.

Economic importance of SUDG Industries

Current Position

- Environment needs protecting
- UK industries are well regulated
- Sustainable development principles adhered to
- Generally small footprint on UK seabed
 - Zone of influence limited
 - Pressures often benign
- Marine industries are critical to climate change management
- Meeting societal needs

Having no marine industry is not an option



Where do we want to get to?

Precautionary or proportionate?





Certainty – proportionate approach (regulatory framework, ecological baselines, understanding impacts)

Seabed User

Where do we want to get to with MPAs?

- A shared vision with industry and other sea users
- Clear outcomes for the MPA: habitats and species
- Clear understanding of current condition of the MPA
- Confidence in the evidence
- Our casework advice on projects is pragmatic and proportionate and we reduce the need for over-precaution because our advice is up-todate

All of which will assist both NE and industry by providing conservation advice that is easier to use and access



So what is Conservation Advice?





Product 1: Advice on Operations

Berths/moorings/anchorages; Cargo operations and landward transportation; Operation of port and harbour structures; Shoreside industry and operations; Ve

nated Sites View	Bird s		Su	ррог	porting habitats			
en Matrix ted with the most co AND EROSION RISK M AND EROSION RISK M AND EROSION RISK M RAY SOURCES RAGE) s ard transportation ur structures rations	Red-throated diver (Gavia stellata), Non-breeding	Subtidal biogenic reefs: Sabellaria spp.	Subtidal coarse sediment	Subtidal mixed sediments	Subtidal mud	Subtidal sand	Circalittoral rock	
ligenous species	<u>NS</u>	<u>s</u>	<u>IE</u>	<u>s</u>	<u>s</u>	<u>s</u>		
		-	0	0	0	0		
the seabed, including abrasion		<u>s</u>	<u>s</u>	<u>s</u>	<u>s</u>	<u>s</u>		

Product 2: Maps





 Table 1. Presence by month of mobile designated features at the Alde-Ore Estuary SPA. Grey indicates periods of presence in significant numbers whereas blank (white) indicates either periods of absence or of presence but only in numbers of less significance.

Common Name	Latin Name	Designated Season	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Reference
Avocet	Recurvirostr a avosetta	Nonbreeding ; Wintering													Cramp and Simmons, 1983
Lesser black- backed gull	Larus fuscus	Breeding													Forrester and Andrews, 2007; Wernham et al., 2002; Cramp and Simmons, 1983
Little tern	Sterna albifrons	Breeding													Kober et al., 2010; Forrester and Andrews, 2007; Pennington et al., 2004; Cramp and Simmons, 1983

Product 4: Detail within conservation objectives (supplementary advice)

- Tables provide detailed advice to enable the achievement of Conservation Objectives.
- Gives greater focus and clarity to the screening and appropriate assessments stages of HRA.
- Lists attributes : ecological characteristics of the site's designated species and habitats
 → ecological integrity.
- The target identifies the desired state to be achieved for the attribute.
- Based on best available evidence (e.g. monitoring, condition assessment, sensitivity & exposure information).

Feature	Attribute	Target	Supporting and/or explanatory notes	References
Intertidal rock	Structure:			Hiscock et al., 2006;
,	physical	surface and	that's likely to be present within a site. Structural and surface	Brazier et al., 1998;
Infralittoral rock	structure of	structural	complexity, the spaces between rocks, fissures and crevices are all	Hubble et al., 2014;
1	rocky	complexity, and the	examples of aspects that should be considered (Hiscock et al., 2006)	McNie and Pirie, 2015
1	substrate	stability of the		1
1	1	intertidal rock / reef	The majority of the intertidal rock within the site is bedrock with	1
1	1	structure.	occasional boulders overlying bedrock (Brazier et al., 1998; Hubble et	.[
1 '	1	1	al., 2014; Jarvis et al., 2003). There is currently no site specific	[]
1 '	1	· /	information available on the structure of the infralittoral rock within the	1
1 '	1	· ·	Tweed Estuary SAC.	[]
1 '	1	· ·	1	1
1	1	/	The target has been set due to a lack of evidence that the feature is	1
<u> </u>	1	1	being impacted by any anthropogenic activities.	<u> </u>

So what is Conservation Advice?





Working with industries and NGOs

- The advice will be unused unless we have the buy-in from sea users and other stakeholders
- We set up an Advisory Group early on to help us design advice packages and test drafts
- Industry engagement in describing the links between pressures and activities is critical to get buy-in
 - Sector workshop organised jointly with SUDG and follow up 1:2:1s with cables, aggregates, ports, offshore wind, recreational boating
 - Fisheries workshop
- Workshops with NGOs and MMO
- Area team workshops with local stakeholders including IFCAs
- Led to over 7000 changes to the database



Reducing burdens through risk profile tables



SUDG view: outcomes for industry

- Better understanding of the work that NE has done to develop CA
- Better access to evidence-based information about MPAs and their management needs
- Better information to assist planning developments from outset
- Greater clarity and cost effectiveness
- Better agreement on the range and extent of impacts of development by sector based on clear evidence
- Better working relationships in managing MPAs



Natural England view: outcomes

- Far greater understanding of what Conservation Advice is and how to use it
- Happier industry greater confidence in meeting MPA management needs
- Higher quality proposals simpler casework

= Better environmental outcomes

Thank you!

Special thanks to Rob Enever, Natalie Bown, Eddy Mayhew and Lisa Jenner



References and links

- Landing page for CA
 - <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/conservation-advice-packages-for-marine-protected-areas</u>
- Flow charts for how CA can be used for EMS Habitats Regulations Assessment / MCZ assessments
 - <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file</u> /441299/hra-process.pdf
 - <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file</u>
 <u>/441298/mcz-process.pdf</u>
- MarLIN sensitivity assessments <u>http://www.marlin.ac.uk/evidence</u>
- APEM mobile species sensitivity report
- <u>www.sudg.org.uk</u>

