





What does the new EU landings obligation mean for prawn fisheries?



Photo credit: National Museum Ireland, Encycloepedia of Life

Based on a MASTS workshop held in May 2014

Clive Fox Scottish Association for Marine Science







- Political background
- What causes discarding
- UK Nephrops fisheries
- Particular impacts of change in policy to Nephrops trawl sector
- Where can research help?



SCOTTISH

ASSOCIATION

for MARINE

SCIENCE

Discards move up the political agenda 2010 - 2013

Oh no, you told me he just wrote cookery books!

FISH FIGHT

Hugh Fearnley-Whittingstall launched the Fish Fight campaign to end discards in 2010.

Now that European fisheries policy has changed, the campaign has come to an end.

READ THE FISH FIGHT STORY >

To stay involved in protecting our fish stocks and our seas, visit our friends at the Marine Conservation Society >. They have great advice on what fish to eat in their Good Fish Guide >



THE FISH FIGHT STORY INTERNATIONAL TIMELINE



THE FISH FIGHTER'S TOOLKIT



THE POWER TO PROTECT OUR OCEANS IS IN YOUR HANDS NOW, SO MAKE SURE OUR SUPERMARKETS AND OUR POLITICIANS HEAR YOUR VOICE ASK THEM A QUESTION, TELL THEM WHAT YOU THINK, AND DON'T GIVE UP UNTIL THEY RESPOND TO YOU!











Campaign was phenomenally successful

Although not true it was only factor – UK fishing industry has been working on reducing unwanted catches (particularly of cod) for a long-time

Led to adoption of a "landings obligation" within the 2013 reform of the Common Fisheries Policy ...









Discard ban is the biggest challenge facing Scottish fishing

ocal Headlines Scottish Headlines Showbiz Community News Groups And Communities Health Bus



The new landings obligation ...

Any quota managed species caught must be landed and counted against quota

Discard plans for demersal sector due summer 2015 - implement in 2016

Very tight timeframe to work out all issues



DISCARDS WILL DOMINATE INDUSTRY IN 2015, SAYS NFFO

23RD DECEMBER 2014 IN DISCARDS

With just 12 months to go before the introduction of a landing obligation, which will be the most dramatic change the European fishing industry has seen for 30 years, the National Federation of Fishermen's Organisations (NFFO) believes there are still major issues yet to be addressed.







- The obligation only applies to species which are managed under quota (TAC species)
- Limited 'swaps' are allowed so that a % of quota can be shifted between fleets (and species) – what this last bit means is confusing
- One can also argue for a limited exemption the 'de minimus'
- One can argue for exceptions on the grounds of 'high' survivability
- One can argue for a limited exemption on grounds of 'excessive' cost









Nephrops norvegicus Dublin Bay prawns, langoustines, scampi











Nephrops landings by UK fleet

Ratio of trawl:creel landings by value about 5:1





Target species





Marketable

Un-marketable by-catch



Quota **EEEs**

<u>-anded</u>

Under-size Quota or damaged

Under-sized

Discards

Any organism which is caught and actively returned to the sea.

Others











Fairly small mesh nets needed to catch prawns – typically 80 mm - so will catch other species including juvenile fish if they are on the grounds. Typical discard rates 30 to > 50% by weight.

Milligan RJ, Neil DM, Albalat A (2013) Scottish Nephrops Survey Phase III: Evaluation of Measures for Reducing Bycatch and Discards in a Nephrops Fishery. , Project Report, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, 124 pp.





Workshop in May 2014 with industry, science, policy, other stakeholders identified 4 main issues the landings obligation raises

- 1. Choke species could force prawn fisheries to close earlier in year?
- 2. Gear innovation constrained by technical rules
- 3. Forced to land under-sized prawns damage to stock sustainability.
- 4. What to do with landed 'discards'?



Choke species : – Historically cod and whiting but can rapidly change e.g. recent increase in juvenile hake



Swaps, quota uplift



 Management needs to be more responsive





Baudron AR, Fernandes PG (2014) Adverse consequences of stock recovery: European hake, a new "choke" species under a discard ban? Fish and Fisheries



Innovation :-

SCOTTISH ASSOCIATION for MARINE SCIENCE





Real-time management – temporary move-on

		Constanting of the second					
Figure 2 Square mesh panel. Statutory measure for release of haddock and whiting in EU <i>Nephrops</i> trawls; fish escape by swimming upwards through the panel.	Figure 3 Swedish grid. Fish pass through the upper window of the trawl. <i>Nephrops</i> pass through the grid into the cod-end.	Figure 4 Inclined separator panel, as used in Irish sea fisheries, to separate cod, haddock and whiting from Nephrops.					
	Figure 6 Coverless trawl. This is a non- statutory measure in which the trawl (A) is designed to avoid capture of haddock						
Figure 5 (Left) Flexible grid systems, as used in French fisheries, for improving <i>Nephrops</i> size selectivity (16).	and whiting - the fish can swim over the top of the trawl. This is more effective than the conventional arrangement (B), where the 'cover' in the top of the trawl extends forward of the footrope and is made of large mesh (17).						

Seafish







Land under-sized prawns :-

Rules recognise that if a species has 'high' survivability (yet to be defined) then it can continue to be discarded – but need to demonstrate this and understand how it varies with fishing conditions, seasons etc. and take account of post-discard mortality.





0

SCOTTISH ASSOCIATION for MARINE SCIENCE



What to do with landed 'discards' :-

- How will this affect operation of remote processing plants?
- Will they need to invest in new processing equipment?
- But there could be a market for locally produced fishmeal for aquaculture or alternate uses such as high-value lipids – however if create a new market then incentives to avoid unwanted catches (which is policy aim of discard ban) are reduced.



Condie HM, Grant A, Catchpole TL (2013) Does banning discards in an otter trawler fishery create incentives for more selective fishing? Fish Res 148:137-146







 Post-catch survivability Gear modifications Modelling implications of choke species Wider ecosystem effects – e.g. on MSFD indicators Fishers behaviour in response to discards ban Socio-economics impacts Policy studies on implementation

Discard plans will evolve over time but better to get it right at first



Main un-answered question is whether discard ban will deliver or just lead to more problems – only time will tell





Maria - you told me you sorted out discarding

Sorry Karmenu - your problem now. I am off for dinner with Hugh.







The full workshop report is available on the MASTS website

http://www.masts.ac.uk/media/119234/masts_nephro ps_workshop_report_final.pdf

Or at

doi: 10.13140/2.1.2661.2802

Also a good summary of issues at

http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/marine/Sea-Fisheries/discards







Exemptions are applied through the Discard Plans agreed by the Regional Groups.

De minimis - the de minimis exemption allows a limited volume of catch to be permissibly discarded. De minimis exemptions can only apply in cases where further increases in selectivity are difficult to achieve or where handling fish may cause disproportionate cost. Regional Groups will be discussing potential de minimis exemptions at meetings in February 2015. Industry representatives have been asked to submit views on potential exemptions to Marine Scotland by February 2015. In Scotland, a possible de minimis exemption is currently being considered for saithe.

High Survivability – scientific evidence indicates that certain species in defined fisheries have a high chance of survival when returned to sea after being caught. A high survivability exemption is available for species caught in fisheries for which scientific evidence demonstrates high survival rates. The exemption works to correct a situation in which an obligation to retain and land catches that would have previously survived the discarding process would, in practice, result in greater fishing mortality and a reduction in stock biomass. In Scotland, a potential high survivability exemption is currently being considered for Nephrops caught in pots, creels and traps.

Interspecies Flexibility – this may allow a Member State to convert quota of a target species into quota of another for which catches are in excess of quota or no quota is held. Quota can only be converted into a species that is within safe biological limits. Regional Groups are currently considering the potential use of IF and are working to establish some common principles on the use of IF.







- Will depend very much on the amounts of additional material which need to be brought to port – may be it is less of a problem than it appears? (Condi et al. 2013 suggests in North Sea fisheries hold capacity would rarely be exceeded)
- Still requires some research and modelling for different groups of vessels, different fishing grounds and different end-use routes.



Home > Professional Development > Safety > Fishing Vessel Stability Simulator

Essential Skills Modules

Safety

Fishing Vessel Stability

Simulator About the Simulator

What You'll Learn

System Requirements

Download

Fishing Master IV

Fisheries Management

Fishing Enterprise Development and Markets

FISHING VESSEL STABILITY SIMULATOR

Understanding your vessel's stability is the single most important thing you can do to ensure the safety of your vessel and crew. Now you can simulate and learn the vital lessons of stability – using your own home computer:

Built specifically for the Canadian fish harvesting industry, the Fishing Vessel Stability Simulator uses innovative simulation technology to help you understand the principles of vessel stability and how they apply to your vessel. FISHING VESSEL STABILITY SIMULATOR







Change in cod by-catch





Fidelis trawl with FCAP reduced catches of cod, haddock and whiting but not enough data to test effect on prawn catches

Haul No	Live weight (kg)		% difference	Number of fish		% difference
			in live weight			in numbers
	Control	Test	Test/Control	Control	Test	Test/Control
		(FCAP2)			(FCAP2)	
9	240	123	-49	113	75	-34
10	437	112	-74	198	73	-63
13	554	209	-62	266	106	-60
14	232	93	-60	180	53	-71
16	435	172	-60	191	115	-40
17	463	184	-60	222	104	-53
19	137	46	-67	107	35	-67
Hauls	2/98	939	-62	1277	561	-56
combined	2450	555	-02	1211	301	-30

Results from trial fishing on Fladden Ground, North Sea (Kynoch et al. 2011). NOTE FCAP reduces gadoid catch but cannot eliminate it!